

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/885,315 06/18/2001		Shinichi Hayashi	FUJI 18.659	4585	
	7590 04/18/2007 CHIN ROSENMAN LLP	EXAMINER			
575 MADISON AVENUE			SHINGLES, KRISTIE D		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2141		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/885,315	HAYASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Kristie D. Shingles	2141		

·	Kristie D. Shingles	2141					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In 							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3 M The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	200100				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	vided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	•						
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,8-10,12-15 and 18-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
\mathcal{M}	RUPAL DHARIA VISORY PATENT EXAMINER	kds/20070415					
	USOHY PAIENT EVANITET.						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the independent claims with limitations from cancelled claims 8, 15 and 18. Although these canceled claims were previously rejected, their incorporation into the independent claims changes the scope of claims dependent upon the independent claims. Thus further search and consideration would be required.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has amended the independent claims with limitations from cancelled claims 8, 15 and 18. These canceled claims were previously rejected by Yamada et al in view of Buyukkoc et al, wherein Buyukkoc et al further teach that traffic from fail routes is re-routed to alternate routes (col.9 lines 5-12). However, the incorporation of claims 8, 15 and 18 into the independent claims changes the scope of all claims dependent upon the independent claims, and therefore would require further search and consideration by the Examiner.